1	JOHN M. MURRAY, ESQ. (FL. BN 157325) CHRISTOPHER S. MORIN, ESQ. (FL. BN 177600)		
2	NATHAN M. WHEAT, ESQ. (FL. BN 86602) MURRAY, MORIN & HERMAN, P.A.		
3	101 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 1810 Tampa, Florida 33602		
5	(813) 222-1800 (T) (Admission to Nevada Pro Hac Vice Pending) G. DAVID ROBERTSON, ESQ. (SBN 1001) KIRK C. JOHNSON, ESQ. (SBN 4299)		
6			
7	ROBERTSON, JOHNSON, MILLER & WILLIAMSON 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 600		
8	Reno, Nevada 89501 (775) 329-5600 (T)		
9	Counsel for Defendants Aero-Trans Corporation		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
12	EDWARD LARSON,		
13	PLAINTIFF,	Case No.: 3:12-CV-00156-LRH-WGC	
14	v.	JOINT STATUS REPORT AND ORDER EXTENDING STAY	
15	DIRK J. LEEWARD, personal representative of the Estate of James K. Leeward, AERO-TRANS		
16	CORPORATION d/b/a LEEWARD AERONAUTICAL SALES; a Florida		
17	corporation, BLACK and WHITE CORPORATIONS I to X and DOES 1 to 20,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	The parties hereto, by their respective undersigned counsel, do hereby submit the		
21	following joint status report, and further stipulate and agree to extend the stay all proceedings		
22	entered in this cause on August 3, 2012 (Dkt # 29), for an additional 120 days. In support of		
23	thereof, the parties state as follows:		
24	1. Pursuant the parties' agreement, the	Court entered a stipulation and order to stay	
25	all proceedings on April 4, 2012 in the above-captioned action. (Dkt #24).		
26	2. Also pursuant to the parties' agreement, the Court entered a second stipulation		
27	and order ("Order") to further stay all proceedings on August 3, 2012 in the above-captioned		
28	action. (Dkt #29).		

Robertson, Johnson, Miller & Williamson 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501 The Order requires the parties to file a joint status report with the Court within

The parties continue to work diligently on developing an informal claim

resolution program that would allow this claim and others arising from the September 16, 2011

National Championship Air Races accident to be resolved without further litigation. Indeed, the

parties have now reached an agreement in principal regarding a final settlement process. The

parties wish to continue to develop the resolution program without simultaneously litigating this

3.

4.

120 days of its entry (i.e., by December 1, 2012).

matter and, therefore, request an additional stay of the proceedings.

Court initially entered the stay and no scheduling order has been entered.

4

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

6.

7.

8.

9.

continues the stay for an additional period.

efforts to resolve this and other claims.

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

5. Judges have wide discretion in managing their dockets. See Hernandez v, City of
El Monte, 138 F.3d 393, 399 (9th Cir. 1998). Thus, it is well settled that federal district courts
have "broad discretion to stay proceedings as an incident to its power to control its own docket."
Clinton v. Jones, 520 U.S. 681, 706-07 (1997) (citations omitted); accord Ham v. JPMorgan
Chase Bank N.A., 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 30325, at *2 (D. Nev. Mar. 7, 2012). See also Landis
v. North American Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) (finding that a district court has the power "to
control the disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for
counsel, and for litigants"). And judges in this federal district have routinely stayed proceedings
so that parties can conduct settlement negotiations. See, e.g., Howard v. Skolnik, 2010 U.S. Dist.
LEXIS 135165, at *2-3 (D. Nev. Dec. 8, 2010); <i>Michaud v. Bannister</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
129038, at *2-3 (D. Nev. Nov. 4, 2011)

This case remains in its preliminary stages. There has been no discovery since the

The parties hereby stipulate and agree that no party will be prejudiced if the Court

The parties further stipulate and agree that the requested extension of the stay is

The parties further stipulate and agree that nothing in this Stipulation should be

not being submitted for the purposes of delay and instead is in furtherance of ongoing, good faith

case 3:12-cv-00156-MMD-WGC Document 34 Filed 12/04/12 Page 3 of 4

1	governing rules of procedure upon expiration of the stay period. Further, nothing in this		
2	Stipulation should be read as precluding the parties from settling or Plaintiff from dismissing any		
3	party for any reason at any time.		
4	WHEREFORE, in light of the foregoing stipulations, the undersigned parties respectfully		
5	request that the Court enter an order staying all proceedings in this matter for an additional 120		
6	days.		
7	Respectfully submitted this 30th day of November, 2012.		
8	ROBERTSON, JOHNSON, MILLER & WILLIAMSON 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 600		
10	Reno, Nevada 89501 (775) 329-5600 (T) (775) 348-8300 (F)		
11	(773) 3 10 0300 (1)		
12	By: /s/ G. David Robertson G. David Robertson, Esq.		
13	Kirk C. Johnson, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants		
14	Aero-Trans and Leeward Estate		
15	MURRAY, MORIN & HERMAN, P.A. 101 East Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 1810		
16	Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 222-1800 (T)		
17	(813) 222-1801 (F)		
18	By: /s/ Christopher S. Morin		
19	John M. Murray, Esq. Christopher S. Morin, Esq.		
20	Nathan M. Wheat, Esq. (Admission to Nevada Pro Hac Vice Pending)		
21	Attorneys for Defendants Aero-Trans and Leeward Estate		
22			
23	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN F. KIRSCH 432 Court Street		
24	Reno, NV 89501 (775) 348-2666 (T)		
25	(775) 786-5573 (F) Attorney for Plaintiff Edward Larson		
26			
27	By: <u>/s/ John F. Kirsch</u> John F. Kirsch, Esq.		
28			

Robertson, Johnson, Miller & Williamson 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501

1 **ORDER** 2 The Court, having considered the Stipulation submitted by the parties hereto and good 3 cause having been found, 4 IT IS SO ORDERED that all proceedings in this matter are hereby stayed for a period of 5 120 days from entry of this Order so that the parties may continue their efforts to resolve this 6 case without further litigation. The parties to the above Stipulation must file a joint status report 7 with the Court either 120 days from the entry of this order or when the case is settled, whichever 8 9 is sooner. Nothing in this Order will prohibit the parties from settling or Plaintiff from 10 dismissing any party for any reason. 11 DATED this 4th day of December, 2012. 12 13 (06h 14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Robertson, Johnson, Miller & Williamson 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 600 Reno, Nevada 89501